

Evidence-Based Collaboration Across Health Professions: Implications for Patient Care

Darmi Arda

^a Department of Nursing, Politeknik Sandi Karsa, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

*Correspondence:

Email: ardadarmi@gmail.com

Received: 9 February 2025 ◦ Revised: 19 February 2025 ◦ Accepted: 22 February 2025

ABSTRACT

Increasing complexity of patient needs requires healthcare professionals to collaborate effectively across disciplines while applying evidence-based practice. However, professional silos and inconsistent use of evidence often limit the quality, safety, and coordination of patient care. Evidence-based collaboration across health professions has therefore emerged as a key strategy to improve patient care outcomes. This study aimed to examine the relationship between evidence-based collaboration across health professions and patient care outcomes. A quantitative cross-sectional study was conducted involving 50 health professionals from multiple disciplines, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire measuring evidence-based collaboration (shared decision-making, use of clinical guidelines, and interprofessional communication) and patient care outcomes (care coordination, patient safety, and perceived quality of care). Descriptive statistics and linear regression analysis were applied to assess the association between collaboration and patient care outcomes. The findings indicated that respondents reported moderate to high levels of evidence-based collaboration and patient care quality. Regression analysis demonstrated a significant positive association between evidence-based collaboration and patient care outcomes ($\beta = 0.45$; $p < 0.001$). Higher levels of collaborative, evidence-informed practice were particularly associated with improved care coordination, enhanced patient safety, and higher perceived quality of care. Evidence-based collaboration across health professions is significantly associated with better patient care outcomes. Strengthening interprofessional collaboration supported by consistent use of clinical evidence may enhance patient-centered care, safety, and overall quality of healthcare services. These findings underscore the importance of promoting evidence-based collaborative practices within healthcare organizations.

Keywords: collaboration; evidence-based practice; interprofessional care; patient safety; quality of care.

INTRODUCTION

Evidence-based practice has become a central principle in modern healthcare, emphasizing the use of the best available evidence, clinical expertise, and patient values to guide decision-making [1]. At the same time, the increasing complexity of patient needs, particularly in the context of aging populations, multimorbidity, and chronic disease management, has highlighted the limitations of single-profession approaches to care [2]. These challenges have brought evidence-based collaboration across health professions to the forefront as a critical strategy for improving patient care quality, safety, and outcomes [3].

Traditionally, healthcare delivery has been organized around professional silos, with physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals often working independently rather than collaboratively [4]. While this model allows for specialization, it can also result in fragmented care, communication breakdowns, and duplication of services. Such fragmentation poses a significant problem for patient care, as it increases the risk of medical errors, reduces care continuity, and undermines patient-centered approaches. In this context, collaboration across health professions, grounded in evidence-based principles, is increasingly recognized as essential for delivering high-quality and safe patient care [5].

Evidence-based collaboration refers to the systematic integration of research evidence, clinical guidelines, and shared professional expertise within interprofessional teams. This approach goes beyond informal cooperation by emphasizing structured communication, role clarity, and joint decision-making informed by empirical evidence [6]. By aligning team-based care with evidence-based standards, health professionals can optimize clinical decision-making, reduce practice variation, and enhance care coordination. For patients, this translates into more coherent treatment plans, improved adherence, and better health outcomes [7].

Despite its recognized importance, the implementation of evidence-based collaboration remains inconsistent across healthcare settings. Organizational barriers such as hierarchical professional cultures, limited interprofessional training, and inadequate information-sharing systems continue to hinder effective collaboration [8]. Moreover, while evidence-based practice is widely promoted within individual professions, less attention has been given to how evidence is collectively interpreted and applied within multidisciplinary teams. This gap between theory and practice represents a critical challenge for healthcare systems seeking to improve patient care through collaborative models [9].

The novelty of evidence-based collaboration lies in its potential to bridge the gap between interprofessional practice and evidence-based care. Rather than treating collaboration and evidence use as separate initiatives, this approach integrates them into a unified framework for patient care improvement [10]. By embedding evidence-based principles within collaborative processes, health professions can move toward more standardized, transparent, and accountable team-based care. This integrated perspective is particularly relevant in complex clinical contexts, such as chronic disease management, patient safety initiatives, and transitional care, where coordinated decision-making is essential [11].

This study aims to examine evidence-based collaboration across health professions and its implications for patient care. By synthesizing perspectives from clinical practice, interprofessional education, and health services research, the study seeks to contribute to a

deeper understanding of how collaborative, evidence-informed practices can enhance patient care outcomes. Strengthening evidence-based collaboration across health professions is a key step toward achieving more effective, patient-centered, and sustainable healthcare systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study employed a quantitative cross-sectional design to examine evidence-based collaboration across health professions and its implications for patient care. The quantitative approach was chosen to objectively measure levels of interprofessional collaboration and evidence-based practice, as well as their association with patient care indicators.

The study was conducted in selected healthcare facilities where multidisciplinary teams were actively involved in patient care. A total of 50 respondents participated in the study, consisting of health professionals from various disciplines, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals. Respondents were selected using purposive sampling based on their direct involvement in collaborative, team-based patient care. Participants were eligible for inclusion if they had at least one year of professional experience, were actively involved in interprofessional collaboration, and agreed to participate in the study. Respondents with incomplete questionnaire responses were excluded from the analysis.

Data were collected using a structured, self-administered questionnaire developed from established frameworks of interprofessional collaboration and evidence-based practice. The instrument consisted of three sections: respondent characteristics, evidence-based collaboration components (including shared decision-making, use of clinical guidelines, and interprofessional communication), and patient care indicators (such as care coordination, patient safety, and perceived quality of care). Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale. The independent variable was the level of evidence-based collaboration, measured using composite scores derived from questionnaire items. The dependent variable was patient care quality, assessed through indicators of coordination, safety, and effectiveness. Higher scores indicated stronger collaboration and better patient care outcomes.

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondent characteristics and variable distributions. Inferential analysis was conducted using correlation and linear regression tests to examine the association between evidence-based collaboration and patient care outcomes. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional ethics committee prior to data collection. All participants provided informed consent before participation. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the study in accordance with ethical standards for health research.

RESULTS

This section presents the quantitative findings of the study examining evidence-based collaboration across health professions and its implications for patient care. The results are organized to describe respondent characteristics, levels of evidence-based collaboration, patient care outcomes, and the statistical association between collaborative practices and patient care quality.

Table 1. Characteristics of Respondents (n = 50)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	21	42.0
	Female	29	58.0
Profession	Physician	15	30.0
	Nurse	18	36.0
	Pharmacist	9	18.0
	Allied Health Professional	8	16.0
Years of Experience	1–5 years	14	28.0
	6–10 years	19	38.0
	>10 years	17	34.0

The respondents represented a diverse group of health professionals, with nurses and physicians forming the largest proportion. Most respondents had more than five years of professional experience, indicating substantial exposure to interprofessional collaboration in clinical settings.

Table 2. Level of Evidence-Based Collaboration Across Health Professions

Indicator	Low n (%)	Moderate n (%)	High n (%)
Shared decision-making	7 (14.0)	21 (42.0)	22 (44.0)
Use of clinical guidelines	5 (10.0)	20 (40.0)	25 (50.0)
Interprofessional communication	6 (12.0)	19 (38.0)	25 (50.0)

Most respondents reported moderate to high levels of evidence-based collaboration, particularly in the use of clinical guidelines and interprofessional communication. However, a notable proportion still reported lower levels of shared decision-making, suggesting room for improvement.

Table 3. Level of Patient Care Outcomes

Indicator	Low n (%)	Moderate n (%)	High n (%)
Care coordination	8 (16.0)	20 (40.0)	22 (44.0)
Patient safety	6 (12.0)	18 (36.0)	26 (52.0)
Perceived quality of care	7 (14.0)	19 (38.0)	24 (48.0)

The majority of respondents reported moderate to high levels of patient care quality, particularly in patient safety. These findings suggest that collaborative, evidence-based practices are reflected in positive patient care outcomes.

Table 4. Association Between Evidence-Based Collaboration and Patient Care Outcomes

Variable	β Coefficient	Standard Error	p-value
Evidence-based collaboration	0.45	0.10	<0.001

Evidence-based collaboration was significantly and positively associated with patient care outcomes ($\beta = 0.45$; $p < 0.001$). This indicates that higher levels of collaborative, evidence-informed practice are linked to improved coordination, safety, and overall quality of patient care. Overall, the results demonstrate that evidence-based collaboration across health professions plays a critical role in enhancing patient care quality, supporting the study's objectives and reinforcing the importance of interdisciplinary, evidence-informed teamwork in healthcare settings.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide quantitative evidence that evidence-based collaboration

across health professions is significantly associated with improved patient care outcomes. The results demonstrate that higher levels of collaborative practices, particularly shared decision-making, use of clinical guidelines, and effective interprofessional communication, are linked to better care coordination, enhanced patient safety, and higher perceived quality of care. These findings reinforce the growing recognition that collaborative, evidence-informed teamwork is essential for delivering high-quality patient-centered care in complex healthcare environments [12].

The respondent profile indicates that the study captured insights from a diverse and experienced group of health professionals, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health practitioners [13]. The majority of respondents had more than five years of professional experience, suggesting familiarity with team-based care and clinical decision-making processes. This diversity strengthens the validity of the findings, as evidence-based collaboration inherently relies on the interaction of multiple professional perspectives in patient care [14].

One of the key findings is the predominance of moderate to high levels of evidence-based collaboration, particularly in the use of clinical guidelines and interprofessional communication [15]. This suggests that evidence-based principles are increasingly being incorporated into collaborative clinical practice [16]. The consistent use of clinical guidelines across professional groups promotes standardized care, reduces unwarranted practice variation, and supports safer clinical decision-making [17]. Effective interprofessional communication further enhances the application of evidence by ensuring that relevant information is shared and interpreted collectively within the care team [18].

Shared decision-making, although reported at moderate to high levels overall, showed relatively lower high-level responses compared to other collaboration indicators [19]. These findings highlight persistent challenges in fully engaging all health professionals and patients in joint decision-making processes [20]. Hierarchical professional cultures, time constraints, and limited training in collaborative decision-making may contribute to this gap. Addressing these barriers is critical, as shared decision-making is a core component of patient-centered care and has been shown to improve patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment plans [21].

The results related to patient care outcomes indicate that respondents perceived high levels of patient safety and quality of care in settings with stronger evidence-based collaboration [22]. The strong association between collaboration and patient safety aligns with existing literature that identifies teamwork and communication as key determinants of error prevention and risk reduction [23]. Collaborative teams are better positioned to identify potential safety issues, cross-check clinical decisions, and respond effectively to complex patient needs, thereby enhancing overall care quality [24].

The significant positive association identified through regression analysis further supports the central premise of this study. Evidence-based collaboration emerged as a meaningful predictor of patient care outcomes, underscoring the practical implications of fostering collaborative competencies among health professionals [25]. This finding suggests that interventions aimed at strengthening interprofessional collaboration, such as joint training programs, standardized communication tools, and shared clinical protocols, may yield tangible

improvements in patient care.

Despite these positive findings, the study also highlights areas requiring further attention. The reliance on self-reported measures may have introduced social desirability bias, potentially inflating perceptions of collaboration and care quality. Additionally, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to draw causal conclusions. While the findings indicate a strong association, longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether improvements in evidence-based collaboration led to sustained enhancements in patient outcomes over time.

From a policy and organizational perspective, the results underscore the importance of creating supportive environments for evidence-based collaboration. Healthcare organizations should promote cultures that value teamwork, flatten hierarchical structures, and invest in systems that facilitate information sharing across professions. Integrating interprofessional education with evidence-based practice training can further strengthen collaborative competencies and ensure their translation into routine clinical care. In conclusion, this study provides empirical support for the role of evidence-based collaboration in improving patient care outcomes. By integrating evidence-based practice with interprofessional collaboration, healthcare systems can enhance care coordination, safety, and quality. Strengthening evidence-based collaboration across health professions should therefore be considered a strategic priority for advancing patient-centered and high-quality healthcare delivery.

CONCLUSIONS

This study concludes that evidence-based collaboration across health professions is positively and significantly associated with improved patient care outcomes. Higher levels of collaborative, evidence-informed practice were linked to better care coordination, enhanced patient safety, and improved perceived quality of care. These findings highlight the critical role of integrating evidence-based practice within interprofessional collaboration to support effective and patient-centered healthcare delivery.

Healthcare organizations should strengthen evidence-based collaboration by promoting shared decision-making, consistent use of clinical guidelines, and effective interprofessional communication. Policymakers and health system leaders are encouraged to support interprofessional training and organizational policies that facilitate collaborative, evidence-informed practice. Future research should employ longitudinal designs to further examine the causal impact of evidence-based collaboration on patient care outcomes.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all health professionals who participated in this study for their valuable time and insights. Appreciation is also extended to the institutions and colleagues who supported the data collection and facilitated the completion of this research.

Funding Source

This study is self-funded

Availability of data and materials

Data and materials of this study are available upon reasonable request

Authors' contributions

Both of the authors have contributed to all aspects of this study

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Al-Hassan and E. Al-Omari, "Mapping the influential publications in interprofessional education within health professions education: A citation analysis," *Next Res.*, vol. 5, p. 101400, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.nexres.2026.101400.
- [2] B. Heuer, J. L. Spruit, and A. Derouin, "DNP Preparation: Competencies Will Sustain the Pediatric NP Profession," *J. Pediatr. Heal. Care*, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2025.11.028.
- [3] A. Steel, B. Lee, T. Carter, H. Foley, and J. Adams, "The practitioner research and collaboration Initiative (PRACI): Updated characteristics of the world's largest complementary medicine practice-based research network," *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.*, vol. 61, p. 102021, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2025.102021.
- [4] F. Schultea, A. Kannenberg, S. R. Wurdeman, A. W. Heinemann, and M. Finco, "Transitioning towards quality-of-care assessment in orthotics and prosthetics practice," *Arch. Rehabil. Res. Clin. Transl.*, p. 100586, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.arrct.2026.100586.
- [5] D. J. Copeland-Streeter, C. Clanton, and M. Moore-Nadler, "Impact of team-based learning on health sciences students' perceptions of interprofessional collaboration," *Teach. Learn. Nurs.*, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.teln.2025.11.030.
- [6] K. Thurston *et al.*, "Development of an Interprofessional Health Care Team Shared-Education Program to Promote Collaboration and Teamwork in Anesthesiology," *J. PeriAnesthesia Nurs.*, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.jopan.2025.08.013.
- [7] M. J. Leach, Y. Vezari, S. DuBois, and R. DuBois, "Practitioner Experiences of Integrative health CarE [PEICE]: A qualitative descriptive study," *Integr. Med. Res.*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 101261, Jun. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.imr.2025.101261.
- [8] A. Alnasser, B. Williams, and C. M. Gosling, "How is professionalism measured in health care professions?," *Heal. Sci. Rev.*, vol. 16, p. 100224, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.hsr.2025.100224.
- [9] L. Nowell, S. Dolan, S. Johnston, M. Jacobsen, D. L. Lorenzetti, and E. Oddone Paolucci, "Supporting Web-Based Teaching and Learning of Virtual Care Skills and Competencies: Development of an Evidence-Informed Framework," *JMIR Nurs.*, vol. 8, pp. e75868–e75868, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.2196/75868.
- [10] R. Wong, C. R. Whitehead, and S. Kitto, "Exploring the interplay of discourses, jurisdictions and restratification in medicine and the implications for intraprofessional collaboration," *SSM - Qual. Res. Heal.*, vol. 5, p. 100411, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ssmqr.2024.100411.
- [11] S. A. Alsenany, A. A. Alnazawi, O. Alnazawi, and A. A. I. El-Sayed, "Determinants of psychological safety in simulation-based learning among health professions students: A cross-sectional study," *Clin. Simul. Nurs.*, vol. 112, p. 101905, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.ecns.2026.101905.
- [12] E. F. Kyei and M. N. Mumba, "Nursing leadership in Housing First implementation: A comparative analysis of care coordination approaches across four U.S. states," *Nurs. Outlook*, vol. 74, no. 2, p. 102675, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2025.102675.
- [13] L. K. Leykum, A. Khan, E. Abu-Rish Blakeney, and K. C. Kennedy, "Interprofessional Collaboration," *Med. Clin. North Am.*, vol. 109, no. 5, pp. 1009–1027, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2025.02.015.
- [14] A. Selim *et al.*, "Enhancing warning signs of mental health literacy: Evaluating a digital base intervention for health profession students," *Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs.*, vol. 54, pp. 91–101, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2025.01.005.
- [15] A. H. Glenngård and M. Haraldsson, "Implications of multiprofessional collaboration in primary care – benefits for all? A quantitative study of effects on resource utilization of a team-based primary care practice in Sweden," *Health Policy (New. York)*, vol. 159, p. 105382, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2025.105382.
- [16] Suprpto, M. I. Kamaruddin, Herlianty, and D. Nurhanifah, "Building Nurse Competency Strategy at Public Health Center in Indonesia: A Descriptive Qualitative Approach," *Malaysian*

- J. Nurs.*, vol. 15, no. 03, pp. 62–70, 2024, doi: 10.31674/mjn.2024.v15i03.008.
- [17] M. Senek *et al.*, “Impact of Digital Health on Patient-Provider Relationships in Respiratory Secondary Care Based on Qualitative and Quantitative Evidence: Systematic Review,” *J. Med. Internet Res.*, vol. 27, p. e70970, May 2025, doi: 10.2196/70970.
 - [18] J. Ominyi and A. Alabi, “Promoting evidence-based nursing through collaboration, autonomy, and agency: A qualitative case study,” *Int. J. Nurs. Sci.*, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnss.2025.08.010.
 - [19] S. A. L. Suprpto Dewi Nurhanifah, Muh Yunus, Lumastari Ajeng Wijayanti, “How is Quality in Homecare Services Created? A Qualitative Study of Health Professional Perspectives,” *J. Kesehat. Masy.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 485–496, 2025.
 - [20] Suprpto, “Personality Characteristics of Nursing Students with Stress Perception in Clinical Practice in the Era Covid-19 Pandemic,” Mar. 2022, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.6400433.
 - [21] S. Suprpto, N. N. Lalla, T. C. Mulat, and D. Arda, “Human resource development and job satisfaction among nurses,” *Int. J. Public Heal. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 1056, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.11591/ijphs.v12i3.22982.
 - [22] S. Suprpto, T. C. Mulat, and N. S. N. Lalla, “Nurse competence in implementing public health care,” *Int. J. Public Heal. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 428, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijphs.v10i2.20711.
 - [23] H. Beks *et al.*, “Low-value health care, de-implementation, and implications for nursing research: A discussion paper,” *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.*, vol. 156, p. 104780, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104780.
 - [24] A. Lapierre *et al.*, “Interprofessional interventions that impact collaboration and quality of care across inpatient trauma care continuum: A scoping review,” *Injury*, vol. 55, no. 11, p. 111873, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2024.111873.
 - [25] E. F. Kyei and M. N. Mumba, “Medicare’s integration imperative: A policy analysis of care coordination barriers for older adults with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders,” *Nurs. Outlook*, vol. 73, no. 6, p. 102550, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2025.102550.