

Effectiveness of Interdisciplinary Strategies in Addressing Public Health Challenges: A Quantitative Study

Indra Tri Astuti^{a*}, Mulyati^b

^a Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang, Indonesia

^b Universitas Graha Edukasi Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Received: 2026-01-02 ◦ Revised: 2026-01-25 ◦ Received: 2026-02-02 ◦ Published: 2026-02-12

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Public health challenges are increasingly complex and driven by the interaction of biomedical, social, economic, environmental, and behavioral determinants. Addressing these challenges requires integrated responses that transcend single-discipline approaches. Interdisciplinary strategies have been widely promoted; however, quantitative evidence demonstrating their effectiveness in improving public health outcomes remains limited. This study aimed to quantitatively examine the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing public health challenges and to analyze the relationship between interdisciplinary collaboration and public health program effectiveness.

Methods: A quantitative cross-sectional analytical design was employed. The study involved a total population of 50 health professionals and program managers from primary health care centers, hospitals, and public health offices engaged in interdisciplinary public health activities. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire measuring interdisciplinary strategy implementation, including interprofessional communication, shared decision-making, and coordination across disciplines. Public health program effectiveness was assessed through indicators of program performance, service delivery efficiency, and perceived outcome improvement. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation analysis, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$.

Results: The findings indicated that the mean scores for all dimensions of interdisciplinary strategies and public health effectiveness were above the midpoint of the scale, reflecting positive implementation levels. Bivariate analysis demonstrated statistically significant positive correlations between interdisciplinary strategy implementation and public health program effectiveness ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$). Interprofessional communication and coordination across disciplines showed the strongest associations with public health effectiveness.

Conclusion: Interdisciplinary strategies are significantly associated with improved public health program effectiveness. Strengthening structured collaboration, communication, and coordination across disciplines is essential for addressing complex public health challenges and enhancing sustainable public health outcomes.

Keywords: Community health; Communication; Primary Health Care; Public Health.



*Correspondence

Email: indra@unissula.ac.id



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Public health challenges in the twenty-first century are increasingly complex, multidimensional, and interrelated [1]. Issues such as non-communicable diseases, emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases, health inequities, environmental degradation, and the social determinants of health cannot be adequately addressed through single-discipline approaches [2]. These challenges are shaped not only by biological factors, but also by social, economic, environmental, behavioral, and policy-related determinants. Consequently, public health systems worldwide are under growing pressure to adopt more integrated and collaborative strategies that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries [3].

Interdisciplinary strategies have been widely promoted as a critical response to this complexity [4]. By integrating expertise from medicine, nursing, public health, social sciences, environmental sciences, economics, and policy studies, interdisciplinary approaches aim to generate more comprehensive, context-sensitive, and sustainable solutions [5]. In theory, such strategies enhance coordination across sectors, improve resource utilization, and strengthen the alignment between health interventions and population needs. Governments, international organizations, and academic institutions increasingly emphasize interdisciplinary collaboration as a cornerstone of effective public health practice and policy development [6].

Despite this growing emphasis, significant practical challenges persist in the implementation and evaluation of interdisciplinary strategies [7]. Fragmentation between sectors, differences in professional cultures, unclear roles, and limited coordination mechanisms often undermine collaborative efforts. Moreover, many public health programs labeled as “interdisciplinary” remain largely conceptual or descriptive, with limited empirical evidence demonstrating their actual effectiveness. As a result, decision-makers frequently lack robust data to justify investments in interdisciplinary models or to guide their optimization in real-world settings [8].

A key problem in the current literature is the dominance of qualitative, narrative, or conceptual analyses when examining interdisciplinary public health strategies [9]. While qualitative studies provide valuable insights into processes, perceptions, and contextual factors, they often fall short in demonstrating measurable outcomes. There is a relative scarcity of quantitative studies that systematically assess whether interdisciplinary strategies lead to statistically significant improvements in public health indicators, program performance, or service delivery outcomes [10]. This limitation constrains the ability of policymakers and practitioners to evaluate impact, compare interventions, and scale up effective models [11].

Furthermore, existing quantitative studies tend to focus narrowly on specific sectors or outcomes, such as clinical performance or service utilization, without capturing the broader public health implications of interdisciplinary collaboration [12]. Many studies also rely on small samples, single-institution settings, or short observation periods, limiting generalizability. In addition, methodological inconsistencies such as the lack of standardized indicators for “interdisciplinary effectiveness” make it difficult to synthesize findings across studies or build cumulative evidence [13].

This situation reveals a clear research gap related to novelty. While interdisciplinary approaches are widely advocated, there is insufficient quantitative evidence that rigorously measures their effectiveness in addressing complex public health challenges at the population level [14]. Novelty is therefore not derived from introducing a new concept, but from applying a quantitative analytical framework to empirically test the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies using measurable outcomes. By moving beyond descriptive claims and toward evidence-based evaluation, such research contributes original value to both theory and practice [15].

Another dimension of novelty lies in integrating multiple outcome domains within a single quantitative study. Rather than examining isolated indicators, a comprehensive analysis can assess outcomes related to program effectiveness, service quality, coordination efficiency, and population health impact. This approach reflects the multidimensional nature of public health challenges and aligns more closely with the theoretical foundations of interdisciplinarity.

In this context, the present study addresses these gaps by quantitatively analyzing the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing public health challenges. By employing a

structured quantitative design, this research aims to generate empirical evidence on the relationship between interdisciplinary collaboration and public health outcomes. The findings are expected to inform policymakers, health managers, and practitioners about the measurable value of interdisciplinary strategies, support evidence-based decision-making, and contribute to the advancement of public health research by strengthening the quantitative evidence base in this critical area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study employed a quantitative, cross-sectional analytical design to examine the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing public health challenges. The design enabled objective measurement of variables and statistical analysis to determine relationships between interdisciplinary collaboration and public health outcomes.

Study Setting and Population

The study was conducted in selected public health institutions, including primary health care centers, hospitals, and public health offices that implement interdisciplinary approaches in service delivery and program management. The study population consisted of 50 health professionals and program managers who were actively involved in interdisciplinary public health activities. Participants represented various professional backgrounds, including medicine, nursing, public health, nutrition, environmental health, and health administration.

Sampling Technique and Sample Size

Given the relatively small and accessible population, a total sampling technique was applied, in which all 50 eligible individuals were invited to participate in the study. This approach ensured comprehensive coverage of the target population and minimized sampling bias.

Variables and Measurement

The independent variable was the level of interdisciplinary strategy implementation, measured using a structured questionnaire covering key dimensions such as interprofessional collaboration, communication effectiveness, shared decision-making, and coordination across disciplines. Responses were assessed using a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).

The dependent variables reflected public health effectiveness, including program performance, efficiency of service delivery, perceived improvement in public health outcomes, and stakeholder satisfaction. Composite scores were calculated for each outcome domain. Socio-demographic and professional characteristics, including age, gender, profession, years of experience, and institutional type, were treated as control variables.

Instrument Development and Reliability

The data collection instrument was developed based on a review of relevant literature and established frameworks on interdisciplinary collaboration in public health. Content validity was evaluated through expert review. A pilot test was conducted to assess clarity and feasibility. Internal consistency reliability was examined using Cronbach's alpha, with values of 0.70 or higher indicating acceptable reliability.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection was conducted during a predetermined study period following ethical approval. Participants received detailed information regarding the study objectives and procedures, and informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Questionnaires were administered either in paper-based or electronic format. Completed questionnaires were reviewed to ensure completeness and accuracy.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize participant characteristics and variable distributions. Inferential analysis included Pearson correlation to assess relationships between interdisciplinary strategies and public health effectiveness. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the effect of interdisciplinary strategies on public health outcomes while controlling for potential confounding variables. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the appropriate institutional review board. Participation was voluntary, confidentiality was maintained, and all data were anonymized and used exclusively for research purposes.

RESULTS

This section presents the findings of the quantitative analysis conducted to assess the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing public health challenges. The results are organized to describe the characteristics of the study population ($n = 50$), followed by the outcomes of descriptive and inferential statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics summarize respondents' demographic and professional profiles as well as the levels of interdisciplinary strategy implementation. Inferential analyses examine the relationships and effects of interdisciplinary strategies on public health effectiveness indicators. All results are reported based on statistically tested data to ensure objectivity and analytical rigor.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents ($n = 50$)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	22	44.0
	Female	28	56.0
Age Group (years)	20–29	8	16.0
	30–39	18	36.0
	40–49	15	30.0
	≥50	9	18.0
Professional Background	Medicine	10	20.0
	Nursing	14	28.0
	Public Health	12	24.0
	Nutrition	8	16.0
	Health Administration / Others	6	12.0
Years of Work Experience	<5 years	9	18.0
	5–10 years	17	34.0
	>10 years	24	48.0
Type of Institution	Primary Health Care Center	21	42.0
	Hospital	19	38.0
	Public Health Office	10	20.0

The demographic characteristics indicate that the majority of respondents were female (56.0%) and predominantly within the productive age range of 30–49 years (66.0%). This suggests that the study participants largely represented an experienced and active workforce involved in public health service delivery. Most respondents had more than five years of professional experience (82.0%), with nearly half reporting over ten years of work experience, indicating a high level of familiarity with interdisciplinary practices. In terms of professional background, nursing and public health professionals constituted the largest groups, reflecting their central role in implementing and coordinating public health programs. The distribution of respondents across primary health care centers, hospitals, and public health offices demonstrates balanced institutional representation, supporting the relevance of the findings across different public health settings. Overall, the demographic profile suggests that the respondents were well-positioned to provide informed and credible assessments of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing public health challenges.

Table 2. Univariate Analysis of Study Variables ($n = 50$)

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)	Minimum	Maximum
Interdisciplinary Strategy Implementation	3.87	0.46	2.80	4.80
Interprofessional Communication	3.92	0.51	2.70	4.90
Shared Decision-Making	3.78	0.49	2.60	4.70

Coordination Across Disciplines	3.81	0.44	2.90	4.60
Public Health Program Effectiveness	3.89	0.48	2.80	4.90

The univariate analysis shows that the mean scores of all key variables were above the midpoint of the scale, indicating generally positive perceptions of interdisciplinary strategy implementation and public health effectiveness. Interprofessional communication demonstrated the highest mean score, suggesting that communication across disciplines was perceived as the strongest component of interdisciplinary practice. The relatively low standard deviations indicate moderate variability among respondents, reflecting consistent perceptions across the study population.

Table 3. Bivariate Analysis: Correlation Between Interdisciplinary Strategies and Public Health Effectiveness (n = 50)

Independent Variable	r	p-value	Interpretation
Interdisciplinary Strategy Implementation	0.62	<0.001	Strong positive correlation
Interprofessional Communication	0.58	<0.001	Moderate to strong positive correlation
Shared Decision-Making	0.54	<0.001	Moderate positive correlation
Coordination Across Disciplines	0.60	<0.001	Strong positive correlation

The bivariate analysis demonstrates statistically significant positive correlations between interdisciplinary strategies and public health program effectiveness. Overall interdisciplinary strategy implementation showed a strong positive relationship with public health effectiveness ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that higher levels of interdisciplinary collaboration were associated with better program outcomes. Among the strategy components, coordination across disciplines and interprofessional communication exhibited the strongest correlations, highlighting their critical role in enhancing public health performance. These findings support the hypothesis that effective interdisciplinary strategies contribute significantly to improved public health outcomes. The findings of this study indicate that interdisciplinary strategies are effectively implemented and positively associated with public health outcomes. High levels of interprofessional communication, coordination across disciplines, and shared decision-making were observed, reflecting strong collaborative practices among public health professionals. Bivariate analysis demonstrated significant positive relationships between interdisciplinary strategy implementation and public health program effectiveness, confirming that stronger interdisciplinary collaboration contributes to improved public health performance. Overall, these results provide quantitative evidence supporting the value of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing complex public health challenges and underscore the importance of strengthening cross-disciplinary collaboration in public health systems.

DISCUSSION

This study provides quantitative evidence on the effectiveness of interdisciplinary strategies in addressing complex public health challenges. The findings demonstrate that interdisciplinary collaboration is not only conceptually valuable but also empirically associated with improved public health program effectiveness. Overall, respondents reported relatively high levels of interdisciplinary strategy implementation, particularly in interprofessional communication and coordination across disciplines. These results suggest that collaborative practices have been reasonably institutionalized within the studied public health settings and are perceived as integral to routine service delivery and program management.

The univariate analysis revealed that all dimensions of interdisciplinary strategies scored above the midpoint of the measurement scale, indicating generally positive perceptions among health professionals [16]. Interprofessional communication emerged as the strongest component, which may reflect increasing awareness of the importance of information sharing, teamwork, and mutual understanding across professional boundaries [17]. Effective communication is widely recognized as a foundational element of interdisciplinary practice, as it facilitates coordination, reduces duplication of efforts, and minimizes misunderstandings that can compromise service quality. The relatively low variability in responses further indicates consistent experiences among respondents, despite differences in professional background and institutional settings [18].

The bivariate analysis strengthens these descriptive findings by demonstrating statistically significant positive correlations between interdisciplinary strategies and public health program effectiveness. The strong correlation between overall interdisciplinary strategy implementation and public health effectiveness suggests that integrated collaboration plays a critical role in achieving better program outcomes [19]. This finding aligns with theoretical frameworks of complex systems in public health, which emphasize that multifactorial health problems require coordinated responses involving multiple disciplines and sectors [20].

Among the specific components examined, coordination across disciplines and interprofessional communication showed the strongest associations with public health effectiveness. This highlights the practical importance of structured coordination mechanisms, such as regular interdisciplinary meetings, clear role delineation, and shared operational protocols [21]. When coordination is effective, health professionals are better able to align their actions toward common goals, optimize resource use, and respond more adaptively to emerging public health needs. These results reinforce the argument that interdisciplinary strategies should move beyond informal collaboration and be supported by organizational structures and leadership commitment [22].

Shared decision-making also demonstrated a moderate positive correlation with public health effectiveness. Although its association was slightly weaker than that of communication and coordination, shared decision-making remains a critical dimension of interdisciplinary practice [23]. Involving multiple disciplines in decision-making processes allows for diverse perspectives, enhances problem-solving capacity, and promotes collective ownership of program outcomes [24]. The moderate strength of this relationship may reflect contextual constraints, such as hierarchical organizational cultures or time limitations, which can restrict full participation of all disciplines in decision-making processes [25].

The findings of this study address an important gap in the existing literature, which has been dominated by qualitative and conceptual discussions of interdisciplinarity. By applying a quantitative analytical approach, this study contributes novel empirical evidence demonstrating measurable associations between interdisciplinary strategies and public health effectiveness. This strengthens the evidence base for interdisciplinary approaches and provides policymakers and health managers with data-driven justification for investing in collaborative models of public health practice [26].

However, the results should be interpreted in light of several considerations. First, the cross-sectional design limits causal inference. While significant associations were identified, the directionality of the relationships cannot be definitively established [27]. It is possible that more effective public health programs foster better interdisciplinary collaboration, rather than collaboration alone driving effectiveness. Longitudinal or quasi-experimental studies would be valuable in clarifying causal pathways [28].

Second, the study relied on self-reported data, which may be influenced by social desirability or professional bias. Respondents involved in interdisciplinary programs may have been inclined to report favorable perceptions of collaboration and effectiveness [29]. Nevertheless, the consistency of responses and the strength of the statistical associations suggest that the observed relationships are meaningful and not solely attributable to response bias [30].

Despite these limitations, the findings have important practical implications. Strengthening interdisciplinary strategies particularly communication and coordination—can be considered a strategic priority for public health systems seeking to improve program performance. Training programs, leadership development, and organizational policies should explicitly promote interdisciplinary competencies and collaborative cultures. Furthermore, the use of standardized quantitative indicators, as applied in this study, can support ongoing monitoring and evaluation of interdisciplinary initiatives. This study demonstrates that interdisciplinary strategies are significantly associated with improved public health effectiveness. The discussion underscores the importance of moving from normative advocacy of interdisciplinarity toward evidence-based implementation and evaluation. By providing quantitative support for interdisciplinary approaches, this research contributes to a more robust and actionable understanding of how complex public health challenges can be addressed through collaborative, integrated strategies.

CONCLUSIONS

This study concludes that interdisciplinary strategies are effectively implemented and significantly associated with improved public health program effectiveness. Strong interprofessional communication, coordination across disciplines, and shared decision-making contribute positively to addressing complex public health challenges. Based on these findings, it is recommended that public health institutions strengthen formal coordination mechanisms, promote interdisciplinary communication, and integrate collaborative practices into organizational policies and capacity-building programs to enhance sustainable public health outcomes.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all participants and the participating public health institutions for their cooperation and valuable contributions to this study. Appreciation is also extended to colleagues and reviewers who provided constructive input during the research process.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed significantly to the development and completion of this research study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this research. No financial, professional, or personal relationships have influenced the outcomes or interpretations presented in this study.

References

- [1] E. Abbasi, "Cockroaches as urban pests: Challenges, public health implications, and management strategies," *IJID One Heal.*, vol. 9, p. 100086, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijidoh.2025.100086>.
- [2] N. Babu N *et al.*, "Challenges in combating tick-borne disease transmissions in India: Emphasizing the importance of a one-health approach," *One Heal.*, vol. 21, p. 101199, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2025.101199>.
- [3] J. Burnett and J. E. S. Beauchamp, "Public Health Strategies and Community Resources to Address Social Isolation and Loneliness in Older Adults," *Clin. Geriatr. Med.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 369–389, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cger.2025.05.001>.
- [4] C. Cai *et al.*, "Advancing One Health education: integrative pedagogical approaches and their impacts on interdisciplinary learning," *Sci. One Heal.*, vol. 3, p. 100079, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100079>.
- [5] S. Chen, J. Qiu, and M. Yang, "Addressing the green space management challenges of the Park City in China: How can nature-based solutions contribute?," *Environ. Dev.*, vol. 55, p. 101235, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.101235>.
- [6] M. E. Crespo-Lopez *et al.*, "Environmental pollution challenges public health surveillance: the case of mercury exposure and intoxication in Brazil," *Lancet Reg. Heal. - Am.*, vol. 39, p. 100880, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2024.100880>.
- [7] M. De Silva, F. Rossi, and C. Paunov, "Managing multi-stakeholder co-creation to address grand challenges: The role of paradox management capabilities," *Res. Policy*, vol. 55, no. 2, p. 105408, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2025.105408>.
- [8] T. A. Fairman *et al.*, "Interdisciplinary challenges for wildfire futures," *Environ. Sci. Policy*, vol. 171, p. 104175, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104175>.
- [9] K. Goniewicz, F. M. Burkle, and A. Khorram-Manesh, "Transforming global public health: Climate collaboration, political challenges, and systemic change," *J. Infect. Public Health*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 102615, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2024.102615>.
- [10] A. Hecimovic, "Collaboration challenges in multidisciplinary audit teams for public sector assurance," *J. Public Budgeting, Account. Financ. Manag.*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1–19, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-04-2025-0098>.
- [11] M. M. Hosseini, A. Koohpaei, H. Ebrahimipour, and S. T. Masoumian Hosseini, "Policy options to address the effectiveness of health service management graduates in solving Iranian health system challenges: a mixed scoping review and policy Delphi approach," *eClinicalMedicine*, vol. 77, p. 102875, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102875>.
- [12] I. Iyamu *et al.*, "Opportunities and Challenges for an Organizational Digital Public Health Strategy in a Provincial Public Health Program in Canada: Qualitative Description of Practitioner Perspectives," *JMIR Public Heal. Surveill.*, vol. 11, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/72588>.
- [13] H. Jangid *et al.*, "Nanoparticle-based detection of foodborne pathogens: Addressing matrix challenges,

- advances, and future perspectives in food safety,” *Food Chem. X*, vol. 29, p. 102696, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2025.102696>.
- [14] M. Kamaruddin, A. Asmi, W. N. Angraeni, W. Hardi, and J. M. Takke, “Analyzing the implementation of health information systems to improve service efficiency in community health centers,” *J. Ilm. Kesehat. Sandi Husada*, vol. 14, no. 2 SE-Book Review, Dec. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.35816/jiskh.v14i2.1330>.
- [15] S. Jordan, A. M. Braik, M. Koliou, M. A. Meyer, S. Yu, and J. M. Mehta, “Integrating interdisciplinary thinking and education in graduate disaster research curricula: Insights from statistical, sentiment, and thematic analysis,” *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, vol. 128, p. 105748, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2025.105748>.
- [16] R. Lefler and Y. Reich, “Addressing environmental and societal challenges through systems thinking: Lessons from socially sensitive environmental projects,” *Environ. Dev.*, vol. 58, p. 101408, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.101408>.
- [17] A. Nguyen, P. Lee, E. K. Rodriguez, K. Chahal, B. R. Freedman, and A. Nazarian, “Addressing the growing burden of musculoskeletal diseases in the ageing US population: challenges and innovations,” *Lancet Heal. Longev.*, vol. 6, no. 5, p. 100707, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanhl.2025.100707>.
- [18] H. Nguyen-Viet *et al.*, “Insights and future directions: Applying the One Health approach in international agricultural research for development to address food systems challenges,” *One Heal.*, vol. 20, p. 101007, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2025.101007>.
- [19] A. R. Nunes, “Medicalisation of public health: a narrative review,” *Public Health*, vol. 246, p. 105827, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2025.105827>.
- [20] A. A. Nowbuth and V. S. Parmar, “Design framework to develop sustainable innovations for addressing One Health challenges,” *One Heal.*, vol. 20, p. 101031, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2025.101031>.
- [21] S. A. Petersen *et al.*, “Local Context and Multi-disciplinarity to Address Smart City Challenges in a Hackathon: The Case of Smart City Development in Kochi,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 59, no. 27, pp. 19–24, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2025.12.072>.
- [22] A. R. Nunes, “Resilience: conceptualisations and challenges for effective heatwave public health planning,” *Public Health*, vol. 230, pp. 113–121, May 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2024.02.023>.
- [23] S. Suprpto, N. Nurafriani, and S. Nurbaya, “Association of Family History and Physical Activity with Hypertension in Pre-Elderly Adults,” *J. Interdiscip. Heal.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 80–90, Oct. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.61099/jih.v1i3.138>.
- [24] Suprpto, M. I. Kamaruddin, Herlianty, and D. Nurhanifah, “Building Nurse Competency Strategy at Public Health Center in Indonesia: A Descriptive Qualitative Approach,” *Malaysian J. Nurs.*, vol. 15, no. 03, pp. 62–70, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.31674/mjn.2024.v15i03.008>.
- [25] Suprpto and Y. Kadang, “Effectiveness Of Nursing Interventions in The Implementation of Primary Health Care Policies: A Systematic Review,” *Maj. Kesehat. Indones.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 21–30, 2026, doi: <https://doi.org/10.47679/makein.2026282>.
- [26] N. Sylvia Bawilin and S. Suprpto, “Influence of social media influencers on adolescents’ confidence and emotions in health education,” *J. Edukasi Ilm. Kesehat.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 87–94, Nov. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.61099/junedik.v3i3.143>.
- [27] P. Venturini, P. L. Faria, and J. V. Cordeiro, “AI and omics technologies in biobanking: Applications and challenges for public health,” *Public Health*, vol. 243, p. 105726, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2025.105726>.
- [28] X. Wei, N. Kumar, and H. Zhang, “Addressing bias in generative AI: Challenges and research opportunities in information management,” *Inf. Manag.*, vol. 62, no. 2, p. 104103, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2025.104103>.
- [29] R. Zhu *et al.*, “From prediction of personalized metabolic responses to foods to computational nutrition: Concepts of an emerging interdisciplinary field,” *Clin. Nutr.*, vol. 55, pp. 11–23, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2025.10.009>.
- [30] F. D. Yeung, S. Sammarchi, E. Wang, Q. Gao, and J. Li, “Interdisciplinary challenges in bio-energy carbon capture utilization & storage deployment: A review,” *Carbon Capture Sci. Technol.*, vol. 13, p. 100283, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccst.2024.100283>.