Journal Interdisciplinary Health

Volume 2 Issue 1, February 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61099/jih.v2i1.173

Original Article *Open Access*

Integrating Multidisciplinary Approaches to Strengthen Community Health Outcomes: A Qualitative Perspective

Prasetyowati[a], **Kaharto**[b]
[a]Midwifery Department, Poltekkes Kemenkes Tanjungkarang, Lampung, Indonesia
[b]Engineering Study Program, Polimarim AMI, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Received: 2026-01-02 ○ Revised: 2026-01-25 ○ Received: 2026-02-02 ○ Published: 2026-02-12

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Community health outcomes continue to face complex challenges driven by the interaction of biomedical, social, economic, environmental, and behavioral determinants. Fragmentation across health disciplines often limits the effectiveness and sustainability of community health interventions. Integrating multidisciplinary approaches has been increasingly promoted to address these challenges; however, empirical evidence explaining how such integration operates in community-based settings remains limited, particularly from a qualitative perspective.

Methods: This study employed a qualitative research design using an exploratory and interpretive approach. Data were collected through in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and document reviews involving purposively selected stakeholders engaged in multidisciplinary community health initiatives. Thematic analysis was conducted to identify key patterns, processes, and contextual factors influencing multidisciplinary integration.

Results: The findings revealed that effective multidisciplinary collaboration is shaped by shared goals, role clarity, communication practices, and meaningful community engagement. Socio-cultural and institutional contexts were found to significantly influence how collaboration is enacted in practice. Participants perceived that multidisciplinary integration improved coordination, reduced service fragmentation, and enabled a more holistic response to community health needs.

Conclusion: This study concludes that multidisciplinary approaches strengthen community health outcomes by fostering coordinated, context-sensitive, and people-centered health interventions. Qualitative insights highlight the importance of relational and contextual factors in sustaining effective multidisciplinary collaboration in community health systems.

Keywords: Community health; Multidisciplinary approach; Service integration; Stakeholder collaboration.

*Correspondence
Email: prasetyowati@poltekkes-tjk.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

Community health outcomes remain a persistent global challenge, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where health systems must respond to complex and interrelated determinants of health [1]. Despite advances in medical technology and health service delivery, many communities continue to experience suboptimal health outcomes driven by a combination of biomedical, social, economic, environmental, and behavioral factors. Issues such as maternal and child health problems, malnutrition, non-communicable diseases, and health inequities cannot be adequately addressed through single-sector or discipline-specific interventions alone [2].

Health systems traditionally operate within professional and institutional silos, where clinical care, public health, social services, nutrition, environmental health, and community development function independently [3]. This fragmentation often results in duplicated efforts, inefficient resource utilization, and interventions that fail to align with community needs and local contexts. Consequently, community health programs may achieve limited impact and sustainability, particularly when they do not account for social norms, cultural values, and lived experiences of the populations they aim to serve [4]. Multidisciplinary approaches defined as collaborative efforts involving professionals from different disciplines working toward shared health goals have been increasingly promoted as a strategy to overcome fragmentation and improve community health outcomes. By integrating diverse forms of expertise, multidisciplinary collaboration has the potential to produce more comprehensive, context-sensitive, and people-centered health interventions [5]. However, the effectiveness of such approaches is highly dependent on how collaboration is enacted in practice, how roles are negotiated, and how communities are meaningfully engaged in the process. These elements are often poorly understood, especially from the perspectives of those directly involved at the community level [6].

Although the importance of multidisciplinary approaches in community health has been widely acknowledged in policy documents and conceptual frameworks, empirical evidence on how these approaches function in real-world settings remains limited [7]. Existing studies are predominantly quantitative, focusing on outcome indicators such as service coverage, morbidity, or mortality rates. While these studies provide valuable evidence on what works, they offer limited insight into the underlying processes, interactions, and contextual factors that shape multidisciplinary collaboration. Moreover, much of the current literature emphasizes interprofessional collaboration within clinical or hospital-based settings, rather than within community-based health systems where complexity and contextual variability are greater [8]. There is a relative lack of qualitative research that captures the experiences, perceptions, and meanings constructed by health workers, community actors, and local stakeholders involved in multidisciplinary initiatives. This gap is particularly evident in community health contexts where power dynamics, institutional constraints, and socio-cultural factors strongly influence collaboration and program implementation [9].

Another significant gap lies in the limited integration of community voices in existing research. Multidisciplinary approaches are often discussed from a top-down perspective, emphasizing organizational structures and professional roles, while overlooking how communities perceive and experience these collaborative efforts. Without qualitative exploration of stakeholder perspectives, multidisciplinary initiatives risk becoming technocratic solutions that fail to resonate with local realities and community priorities [10].

This study offers a novel contribution by adopting a qualitative perspective to examine the integration of multidisciplinary approaches in strengthening community health outcomes. Rather than focusing solely on measurable outputs, the study seeks to understand the processes, relationships, and contextual mechanisms that enable or hinder effective multidisciplinary collaboration at the community level. The novelty of this research lies in its emphasis on lived experiences and interpretive meanings derived from multiple stakeholders, including health professionals from various disciplines, community leaders, and local institutions. By exploring how multidisciplinary collaboration is negotiated, experienced, and sustained in everyday practice, this study provides nuanced insights that are often absent from quantitative evaluations.

Furthermore, this study contributes to the literature by situating multidisciplinary integration within specific socio-cultural and institutional contexts, highlighting how local values, trust,

communication patterns, and leadership shape collaborative dynamics. The qualitative findings generate context-sensitive knowledge that can inform the design of more adaptive and participatory community health interventions. Ultimately, this research advances understanding of why and how multidisciplinary approaches strengthen community health outcomes, offering theoretical and practical implications for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers. By foregrounding qualitative evidence, the study bridges an important gap in community health research and supports the development of more integrated, equitable, and sustainable health systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study employed a qualitative research design using an exploratory and interpretive approach to understand how multidisciplinary integration contributes to strengthening community health outcomes. A qualitative design was chosen to capture in-depth perspectives, experiences, and meanings constructed by stakeholders involved in multidisciplinary community health initiatives. This approach is appropriate for examining complex social processes, collaborative dynamics, and contextual factors that cannot be adequately measured through quantitative methods.

Study Setting

The study was conducted in community health settings where multidisciplinary approaches are routinely implemented, including primary health care facilities and community-based health programs. These settings were selected because they represent frontline environments where collaboration among health professionals, community actors, and local institutions directly influences health outcomes. The study context reflects diverse socio-cultural and organizational conditions that shape multidisciplinary practices in real-world community health systems.

Participants and Sampling

Participants included a range of stakeholders involved in community health activities, such as health professionals from different disciplines (e.g., nursing, public health, nutrition, environmental health), program managers, and community representatives. A purposive sampling strategy was used to ensure the inclusion of participants with direct experience in multidisciplinary collaboration. Sampling continued until data saturation was achieved, defined as the point at which no new themes or significant insights emerged from additional data collection.

Data Collection Methods

Data were collected using multiple qualitative techniques to enhance depth and credibility. These included:

In-depth Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted to explore participants' experiences, perceptions, and roles within multidisciplinary collaborations. An interview guide was developed to address key topics such as interprofessional communication, coordination mechanisms, perceived benefits, challenges, and the influence of contextual factors on collaboration.

Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)

FGDs were conducted with selected participants to capture shared experiences and collective views on multidisciplinary integration. This method facilitated interaction among participants and enabled the exploration of consensus and divergence in perspectives.

Document Review

Relevant program documents, policy guidelines, and operational reports were reviewed to contextualize interview findings and to understand formal structures supporting multidisciplinary approaches. All interviews and FGDs were conducted in a language familiar to participants, audio-recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim for analysis.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using thematic analysis following an inductive approach. Transcripts were read repeatedly to achieve data familiarization, followed by initial coding to identify meaningful units of text. Codes were then grouped into categories and overarching themes that reflected patterns related to multidisciplinary integration and community health outcomes. The analysis emphasized interpretation

of meanings, relationships, and processes rather than quantification of responses. To enhance rigor, coding and theme development were conducted iteratively, with constant comparison across data sources. Reflexive memos were used to document analytic decisions and emerging interpretations throughout the analysis process.

Trustworthiness

Trustworthiness of the study was ensured through established qualitative criteria, including credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability. Credibility was enhanced through triangulation of data sources and methods. Dependability and confirmability were supported by maintaining an audit trail of methodological and analytical decisions. Thick descriptions of the study context and participants were provided to allow readers to assess the transferability of findings to similar settings. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional ethics committee prior to data collection. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing participant identifiers. Participation was voluntary, and participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any stage without consequences.

RESULTS

This section presents the key findings derived from the qualitative analysis of in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and document reviews. The results are organized thematically to reflect participants' experiences, perceptions, and interpretations of multidisciplinary integration in community health practice. The findings highlight how collaborative processes are enacted, the factors that facilitate or hinder effective integration, and the perceived contributions of multidisciplinary approaches to strengthening community health outcomes. Representative narratives are used to illustrate core themes and to provide contextual depth to the results.

Table 1. Interview Guide: Themes and Essential Questions

Theme	Essential Questions
Understanding of Multidisciplinary Approaches	How do you understand the concept of a multidisciplinary approach in community health? What disciplines are involved in your work, and how do they interact?
Roles and Responsibilities	Can you describe your role within the multidisciplinary team? How are roles and responsibilities defined and coordinated among team members?
Collaboration and Communication	How do team members communicate and share information across disciplines? What communication mechanisms are most effective in supporting collaboration?
Integration in Practice	How are multidisciplinary approaches implemented in daily community health activities? Can you provide examples of successful or challenging integration?
Community Engagement	How are community members and local stakeholders involved in multidisciplinary health initiatives? How does community participation influence program effectiveness?
Facilitators of Multidisciplinary Integration	What factors support effective multidisciplinary collaboration (e.g., leadership, policies, resources, trust)?
Barriers and Challenges	What challenges or obstacles have you encountered in implementing multidisciplinary approaches at the community level?
Perceived Impact on Community Health Outcomes	In your experience, how has multidisciplinary collaboration influenced community health outcomes? What changes have you observed?
Contextual and Socio-cultural Factors	How do local culture, social norms, and institutional contexts shape multidisciplinary collaboration?
Sustainability and Improvement	What strategies are needed to sustain and strengthen multidisciplinary approaches in community health programs?

The interview guide was designed to systematically explore participants' experiences and perceptions of multidisciplinary integration in community health settings. The thematic structure enabled the identification of how roles, communication, and collaboration across disciplines are enacted in practice, while also capturing contextual, socio-cultural, and organizational influences. By addressing facilitators, barriers, and perceived impacts on community health outcomes, the guide ensured

comprehensive data collection to support an in-depth qualitative understanding of how and why multidisciplinary approaches contribute to strengthening community health outcomes.

Table 2. Themes, Subthemes, and Illustrative Participant Quotations

Theme	Subtheme	Illustrative Participant Quotations
Multidisciplinary Collaboration	Shared goals and coordination	"Working with professionals from different disciplines helps us align our activities and avoid overlapping services."
Role Integration	Role clarity and complementarity	"Each discipline has a clear role, and our tasks complement one another in addressing community health needs."
Communication Processes	Information sharing and dialogue	"Regular meetings and open communication make it easier to coordinate across different sectors."
Community Engagement	Participation and trust	"Community involvement strengthens trust and makes health programs more acceptable to local people."
Contextual Influences	Socio-cultural and institutional factors	"Local culture and institutional support strongly influence how well multidisciplinary collaboration works."
Perceived Impact	Improved service delivery	"Multidisciplinary teamwork allows us to address health problems more comprehensively than working alone."

The thematic analysis demonstrates that multidisciplinary collaboration is central to strengthening community health outcomes. Participants consistently emphasized the importance of shared goals, clear role integration, and effective communication as foundational elements of successful collaboration. The findings indicate that when professional roles are well defined and complementary, multidisciplinary teams are better able to deliver coordinated and comprehensive health services. Community engagement emerged as a critical enabling factor, reinforcing trust and enhancing the acceptability of health interventions. Additionally, socio-cultural and institutional contexts were found to significantly shape how multidisciplinary approaches are implemented, either facilitating or constraining collaboration. Overall, participants perceived that multidisciplinary integration improves service quality, reduces fragmentation, and supports a more holistic response to community health needs. The results suggest that multidisciplinary approaches contribute to improved community health outcomes by fostering coordinated action, enhancing communication across disciplines, and integrating community perspectives into health interventions. Effective collaboration is influenced not only by technical expertise but also by contextual, organizational, and relational factors. These findings highlight the value of multidisciplinary integration as a practical strategy for strengthening community-based health programs.

DISCUSSION

This qualitative study provides in-depth insights into how multidisciplinary approaches function in community health settings and how such integration contributes to strengthening community health outcomes. The findings demonstrate that multidisciplinary collaboration is not merely a structural arrangement but a dynamic social process shaped by shared goals, role clarity, communication practices, community engagement, and contextual influences. These results extend existing literature by highlighting the relational and contextual dimensions of multidisciplinary integration, which are often underexplored in outcome-focused research [11].

The prominence of shared goals and coordination across disciplines underscores the importance of alignment in multidisciplinary work. Participants emphasized that a common understanding of objectives reduces service duplication and enhances program coherence [12]. This finding aligns with previous research suggesting that goal alignment is a critical prerequisite for effective interprofessional collaboration in health systems. In community health contexts, where resources are often limited and needs are multifaceted, coordinated action enables teams to address health problems more comprehensively and efficiently [13]. The qualitative evidence from this study illustrates how shared

goals function as a unifying framework that guides daily practice and decision-making across professional boundaries [14].

Role clarity and complementarity emerged as another key factor influencing successful multidisciplinary integration. Participants reported that clearly defined roles helped minimize professional conflict and strengthened mutual respect among team members [15]. This finding supports existing interprofessional theories that emphasize the importance of role negotiation and boundary management in collaborative practice [16]. In community-based settings, where professionals from diverse backgrounds interact closely with communities, role clarity enables each discipline to contribute its unique expertise while remaining responsive to collective goals. The study adds nuance by showing that role integration is an ongoing process, requiring continuous communication and adaptation rather than fixed task allocation [17].

Effective communication was consistently identified as a mechanism that facilitates multidisciplinary collaboration. Regular meetings, open dialogue, and information-sharing platforms were perceived as essential for coordinating activities and resolving challenges [18]. This finding resonates with broader health systems literature that positions communication as a core enabler of teamwork. However, the qualitative perspective of this study reveals that communication is not only technical but also relational, fostering trust and shared understanding among team members [19]. Inadequate communication, by contrast, was implicitly linked to fragmentation and inefficiencies, highlighting the need for deliberate communication strategies in multidisciplinary community health programs [20].

Community engagement emerged as a critical dimension that distinguishes multidisciplinary approaches in community health from those in purely clinical settings [21]. Participants emphasized that involving community members enhances trust, cultural relevance, and program acceptability. This finding reinforces the notion that community health outcomes are shaped not only by professional expertise but also by meaningful participation of communities themselves [22]. The study contributes to the literature by illustrating how multidisciplinary teams serve as a bridge between formal health systems and community knowledge, enabling interventions to be better aligned with local needs and social realities [23].

Contextual factors, including socio-cultural norms and institutional support, were found to significantly influence the effectiveness of multidisciplinary integration [24]. Participants noted that local culture, leadership commitment, and organizational policies could either facilitate or hinder collaboration. This finding supports ecological perspectives on health, which emphasize the interaction between individual, organizational, and societal levels [25]. The qualitative insights underscore that multidisciplinary approaches cannot be universally applied without adaptation; instead, they must be tailored to specific contexts to achieve sustainable impact. This has important implications for policymakers and program designers who often promote multidisciplinary models without sufficient attention to local conditions [26].

The perceived impact of multidisciplinary collaboration on community health outcomes was articulated in terms of improved service delivery and a more holistic response to health needs. Participants reported that multidisciplinary teamwork enabled them to address not only medical issues but also social and behavioral determinants of health. This finding aligns with global calls for integrated, people-centered health systems. By capturing stakeholders' perceptions, this study adds explanatory depth to existing evidence on the benefits of multidisciplinary approaches, demonstrating how integration translates into practice-level improvements. Overall, the findings highlight that multidisciplinary integration is a complex and context-dependent process that extends beyond formal structures. The qualitative perspective adopted in this study provides valuable insights into the mechanisms through which collaboration strengthens community health outcomes. These insights suggest that investments in relationship-building, communication, and community engagement are as critical as technical capacity in realizing the potential of multidisciplinary approaches.

CONCLUSIONS

This qualitative study concludes that integrating multidisciplinary approaches plays a crucial role in strengthening community health outcomes. Effective collaboration across disciplines enhances

coordination, clarifies roles, improves communication, and fosters meaningful community engagement. These elements enable health programs to address community health problems more holistically by integrating biomedical, social, and contextual perspectives. The findings emphasize that multidisciplinary integration is not solely dependent on structural arrangements but is deeply influenced by relational dynamics, institutional support, and socio-cultural contexts.

Based on these findings, it is recommended that community health programs prioritize strategies that support sustained multidisciplinary collaboration, including clear role delineation, regular interprofessional communication, and active community participation. Policymakers and health system managers should develop enabling policies and leadership structures that facilitate cross-sector collaboration and contextual adaptation. Future research should expand qualitative inquiry to diverse settings and complement it with mixed-methods approaches to further examine the long-term impact of multidisciplinary integration on community health outcomes.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all participants and stakeholders who generously shared their time and insights in this study. We also acknowledge the support of the institutions and community health teams involved in facilitating the research process.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed significantly to the development and completion of this research study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this research. No financial, professional, or personal relationships have influenced the outcomes or interpretations presented in this study.

References

[1] N. A. Blackburn *et al.*, "Advancing methods for program evaluation in substance use by strengthening the application of epidemiology, economic evaluation, and implementation science: Reflections on the opportunities for synergy," *Eval. Program Plann.*, vol. 111, p. 102610, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2025.102610.

[2] E. Cella, M. V Cunha, L. C. J. Alcantara, J. Lourenço, and M. Giovanetti, "Strengthening arbovirus surveillance: bridging gaps for global health preparedness," *Trends Microbiol.*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 1185–1195, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2025.03.010.

[3] N. Clark *et al.*, "Strengthening all-of-society approaches for disaster resilient societies through competency building: A European research agenda," *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.*, vol. 121, p. 105345, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2025.105345.

[4] J. Crawshaw, M. Corovic, M. Ajlan, K. D. Mosleh, M. Natarajan, and J. Schwalm, "Strengthening transitions in care for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a theory-based qualitative study," *CJC Open*, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjco.2025.12.004.

[5] M. H. S. De Jong *et al.*, "Improving Oral Health Care for Frail, Community-Dwelling Older People: Exploring Barriers and Facilitators for Interprofessional Collaboration," *Int. Dent. J.*, vol. 76, no. 2, p. 109346, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2025.109346.

[6] C. A. Gorman, S. C. Tucker, T. K. Patel, J. R. Himmler, and T. F. Contreras, "Pathways to second chances: A multidisciplinary integrative review of 25 years of research on the employment of formerly incarcerated individuals," *J. Vocat. Behav.*, vol. 162, p. 104179, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2025.104179.

[7] J. B. Gross, B. Stacey, and S. Stanos, "Contemporary Approaches to Multidisciplinary Pain Management," *Neurol. Clin.*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 561–576, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2025.04.006.

[8] A. Hecimovic, "Collaboration challenges in multidisciplinary audit teams for public sector assurance," *J. Public Budgeting, Account. Financ. Manag.*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1–19, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-04-2025-0098.

[9] D. Y. Kim, J. Lee, J. Choi, H. Shin, J. S. Lee, and E. J. Kim, "Spatial multi-omics in precision medicine: Integrating biological insights through multidisciplinary collaboration," *Semin. Cancer Biol.*, vol. 119, pp. 24–37, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2025.12.009.

[10] E. C. N. Lim, W. T. S. Yu, and C. E. D. Lim, "Integrative gut health: How fermented foods bridge ancient Eastern wisdom and modern microbiome science," *J. Tradit. Chinese Med. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 499–508, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcms.2025.07.002.

[11] E. Londoño *et al.*, "HEARTS quality: a policy framework to strengthen hypertension and cardiovascular risk management in primary healthcare—insights from HEARTS in the Americas," *Lancet Reg. Heal. -*

Am., vol. 53, p. 101311, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2025.101311.

[12] I. Lulic *et al.*, "Strengthening trauma resuscitation education and training in low-resource settings: A call for global inclusion," *Resusc. Plus*, vol. 23, p. 100935, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2025.100935.

[13] L. Mazar, S. Rakhshanderou, A. Mohseni-Bandpei, and M. Ghaffari, "Environmental health literacy: an exploratory conceptual redefinition and explanation structuralized from comprehensive multidisciplinary expert perspectives along with public insights," *City Environ. Interact.*, vol. 29, p. 100285, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cacint.2025.100285.

[14] A. Milanti, A. Oktalia, R. Purwanti, and F. Asriwhardhani, "Strengthening Cancer Nursing Workforce to Overcome Inequities in Cancer Care for People Living in Remote, Border, and Outer Island Areas of Indonesia," *Semin. Oncol. Nurs.*, vol. 41, no. 3, p. 151888, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2025.151888.

[15] J. Piera-Jiménez, T. Dedeu, C. Pagliari, and T. Trupec, "Strengthening primary health care in Europe with digital solutions," *Atención Primaria*, vol. 56, no. 10, p. 102904, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprim.2024.102904.

[16] D. Nepogodiev *et al.*, "Surgical health policy 2025–35: strengthening essential services for tomorrow's needs," *Lancet*, vol. 406, no. 10505, pp. 860–880, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(25)00985-7.

[17] P. Naomi *et al.*, "Strengthening Canadian Child and Youth Advocacy Centres through coordinated research and knowledge sharing: Establishing a Canadian Research and Knowledge Centre," *Child Prot. Pract.*, vol. 4, p. 100091, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chipro.2024.100091.

[18] S. D. Sasie, M. Spigt, F. M. Aragaw, and G. Ayano, "Stakeholder analysis to strengthen Public Health Emergency Management: A Delphi study from Ethiopia," *Public Health*, vol. 249, p. 106033, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2025.106033.

[19] F. P. Sellera, J. P. R. Furlan, L. C. B. A. da Silva, L. Sartori, and N. Lincopan, "United we stand, divided we fall: Strengthening clinical alliances between human and veterinary medicine to tackle global priority antimicrobial-resistant bacterial pathogens," *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 114, no. 3, p. 117231, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2025.117231.

[20] Y. Sitthimongkol *et al.*, "Enhancing accessibility through nurse-led clinics in primary care: An integrative review of models of care," *Int. J. Nurs. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 593–600, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2025.10.006.

[21] M. Songwe *et al.*, "A multidisciplinary approach to strengthening patient navigation among gynaecologic malignancy patients at the Cancer Diseases Hospital in Zambia," *J. Cancer Policy*, vol. 45, p. 100608, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpo.2025.100608.

[22] S. Suprapto and M. Ihsan Kamaruddin, "Integration of digital technology by health analysts in health information systems: Systematic Review," *J. Interdiscip. Heal.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 34–43, May 2025, doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.61099/jih.v1i1.105.

[23] A. C. Y. Tang *et al.*, "Preliminary Effect of Challenge-Based Learning on Fostering Nursing Students' Multidisciplinary Collaboration in Community Health Care Settings," *Teach. Learn. Nurs.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. e661–e666, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2024.06.001.

[24] X. Wang, Q. Wang, G. Ding, J. Wang, Y. Tang, and Y. Feng, "Artificial intelligence in multidisciplinary tumor boards enhancing decision making and clinical outcomes in oncology," *iScience*, vol. 28, no. 12, p. 114082, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2025.114082.

[25] K. H. Vaupell, J. Marcussen, J. Clemensen, G. Zachariassen, and K. G. Holm, "Navigating the patient pathway: An integrative review of parental mental health and support needs following neonatal gastrointestinal surgery," *J. Pediatr. Nurs.*, vol. 86, pp. 396–408, 2026, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2025.11.034.

[26] M. R. Walker *et al.*, "Challenges and Opportunities for Rural Multidisciplinary Lung Cancer Care," *J. Am. Coll. Radiol.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1609–1617, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2025.08.020.